#15/Response, Dais 1.132

FEB 0 4 2004

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the property of Clement W. BOWMAN

Group Art Unit: 2166

Atty Docket No: 28331.0

Serial No.: 09/240,053

Examiner: Kalinowski

Filed:

January 29, 1999

For: CÓMPUTERIZED PROCESS FOR MEASURING THE VALUE OR

PERFORMANCE OF AN ORGANIZATION OR INTANGIBLE ASSET

RECEIVED
FEB 1 2 2004
GROUP 3600

## REPLY UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 115

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

Reply:

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING DEPOSITED WITH THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE WITH SUFFICIENT POSTAGE AS FIRST CLASS MAIL IN AN ENVELOPE ADDRESSED TO: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. BOX 1450, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450 ON

In response to the Office Action dated October 3, 2003, please enter the following

## **REMARKS**

Claims 1-20 are pending in the application. Applicant notes with appreciation the Examiner's withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1-20 under 35 U.S.C. § 101. Claims 1-18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blake et al. in view of Canguilhem, and further in view of Hamel. Claims 19-20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Blake et al. in view of Canguilhem, further in view of Hamel, and even further in view of Turnbull. These rejections are respectfully traversed in view of the comments below and the attached § 1.132 affidavits, which clearly establish nonobviousness of the claimed invention under secondary considerations.